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Database snapshots: traditional

- Database snapshot allows to know state of any transaction when snapshot created
  - All transaction states are recorded at Transaction Inventory (TIP)
  - Copy of TIP created at some moment allows later to know state of any given transaction at that moment
- If some transaction state is known as “active” in any used snapshot, there should be guarantee that engine could read records committed before this transaction changed it.
  - Special database marker OST used as garbage collection threshold
Database snapshots: commits order

- It is enough to know order of commits to know state of any transaction when snapshot created:
  - If other tx is active (dead) in TIP, consider it as active (dead), obviously
  - If other tx is committed in TIP - we should know when it was committed:
    - before our snapshot created – consider it as committed
    - after our snapshot created – consider it as active
Database snapshots: commits order

• Commits order:
  • New global per-database counter: Commit Number (CN)
    - In-memory only, no need to store in database
    - Initialized when database is started
    - When any transaction is committed, global Commit Number is incremented and its value is associated with transaction (i.e. we just defined “Transaction Commit Number“, or Transaction CN)
Database snapshots: commits order

• Commits order:
  • New global per-database counter: Commit Number (CN)
    – Current value could be queried using new context variable “GLOBAL_CN” in “SYSTEM” context:

```sql
SELECT RDB$GET_CONTEXT('SYSTEM', 'GLOBAL_CN')
FROM RDB$DATABASE
```
Database snapshots: commits order

• Possible values of transaction Commit Number
  • Transaction is active:
    – **CN_ACTIVE** = 0
  • Transactions committed before database started (i.e. older than OIT):
    – **CN_PREHISTORIC** = 1
  • Transaction is in limbo:
    – **CN_LIMBO** = MAX_UINT64 - 1
  • Dead transaction:
    – **CN_DEAD** = MAX_UINT64 - 2
  • Transactions committed while database works:
    – **CN_PREHISTORIC** < **CN** < **CN_DEAD**
Database snapshots: commits order

- Database snapshot is defined as
  - Value of global Commit Number at moment when database snapshot is created, and
  - Common list of all transactions with associated CN's
    - Transactions older than OIT are known to be committed thus not included in this list
Database snapshots: commits order

- List of “interesting” transactions with its states and commit numbers
  - Array located in shared memory
    - Available for all Firebird processes
  - Item index is transaction’s number
  - Item value is transaction’s CN
  - Whole array split on blocks of fixed size
    - new setting *TipCacheBlockSize* in firebird.conf
    - 4MB by default, fits 512K items
- Whole array keeps values between OIT and Next
  - blocks dynamically allocated and released
Database snapshots: commits order

- Database snapshot could be created
  - For every transaction
    - Useful for snapshot (concurrency) transactions
  - For every active statement and for every cursor
    - Useful for read-committed transactions
    - Allows to solve statement-level read consistency problem
Database snapshots: commits order

• List of all active database snapshots
  • For garbage collection purposes
  • List of items <attachment_id, snapshot_number>
    - 16 bytes
• Allocated in shared memory
• Fixed size
  - new setting $\text{SnapshotsMemSize}$ in firebird.conf
  - 64KB by default, fits more than 4000 snapshots
## Database snapshots: commits order

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Traditional</th>
<th>Commits Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIP on disk</strong></td>
<td>Array of 2-bit states for every transaction</td>
<td>Array of 2-bit states for every transaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TIP cache in memory</strong></td>
<td>Array of 2-bit states for every transaction since OIT</td>
<td>Array of 64-bit Commit Numbers of every transaction since OIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Private snapshot</strong></td>
<td>Array of 2-bit states of transactions between OIT and Next</td>
<td>Single 64-bit Commit Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>List of active snapshots</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Array of 16-byte items, 64KB by default</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Database snapshots: commits order

- Record version visibility rule
  - Compare CN of our snapshot (CN_SNAP) and CN of transaction which created record version (CN_REC):
    CN_REC == CN_ACTIVE,
    CN_REC == CN_LIMBO
      - Invisible
    CN_REC == CN_DEAD
      - Back out dead version (or read back version) and repeat
    CN_REC > CN_SNAP
      - Invisible
    CN_REC <= CN_SNAP
      - Visible
Database snapshots: commits order

• Record visibility rule: consequence
  • If some snapshot CN could see some record version then all snapshots with numbers > CN also could see same record version

• Garbage collection rule
  • If all existing snapshots could see some record version then all its backversions could be removed, or
  • If oldest active snapshot could see some record version then all its backversions could be removed
Long running transactions

**Sequence of actions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Transaction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Tx 10 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Tx 10 insert</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tx 10 commit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tx 11 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tx 12 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tx 12 update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tx 12 commit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tx 13 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tx 13 update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tx 13 commit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tx 14 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tx 14 update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tx 14 commit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tx 15 start</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tx</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>committed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>active</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>committed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>committed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>committed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>active</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Oldest Snapshot**

- Tx 11
- Tx 15

**Not needed versions, can't be removed!**
Long running transactions

**Sequence of actions**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Tx 10 commit, CN = 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Tx 11 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>create snapshot 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tx 12 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Tx 12 update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Tx 12 commit, CN = 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Tx 13 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Tx 13 update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tx 13 commit, CN = 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Tx 14 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Tx 14 update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Tx 14 commit, CN = 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tx 15 start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>create snapshot 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIP**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>State</th>
<th>CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Oldest Snapshot

Snap 5

Snap 8

Tx 14, cn 8 → Tx 13, cn 7 → Tx 12, cn 6 → Tx 10, cn 5

Not needed versions, can it be removed?
Long running transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tx</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TIP**

- Snapshots list is sorted
  - First entry is *oldest snapshot*
- Which snapshot could see which record version?
  - $\text{CN\_REC} \leq \text{CN\_SNAP}$

**Active snapshots**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN of snapshot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tx 14, cn 8 → Tx 13, cn 7 → Tx 12, cn 6 → Tx 10, cn 5
Long running transactions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tx</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Active snapshots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN of snapshot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Interesting value: oldest active snapshot which could see given record version
- If few versions in a chain have the same (see above) then all versions except of first one could be removed!
Long running transactions

### TIP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tx</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>committed</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>active</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Active snapshots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN of snapshot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Record versions chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record versions chain</th>
<th>Oldest CN could see the version</th>
<th>Can be removed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tx 14, cn 8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx 13, cn 7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx 12, cn 6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx 10, cn 5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not needed versions, can be removed!
## Intermediate record versions

### Active snapshots

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CN of snapshot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Visibility of record versions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Record versions chain</th>
<th>Oldest CN could see version</th>
<th>Could be removed?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tx 345, cn 72</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx 256, cn 65</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx 287, cn 60</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx 148, cn 34</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx 124, cn 26</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx 103, cn 18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Not needed versions, can be removed
Intermediate record versions

1. Build new backversions chain

2. Update back pointer of primary version

3. Delete old backversions

Not needed versions, can be removed
Intermediate record versions

- Intermediate GC cost is not zero
  - Avoid concurrent Intermediate GC of the same record
- When it happens
  - After UPDATE, DELETE, SELECT WITH LOCK
    - record is “owned” by current active transactions
    - no concurrency with other user attachments
    - GC Policy = Cooperative or Combined
  - Sweep, background GC thread
    - trying to avoid concurrency with user attachments
      - only if primary record version is committed
      - only if traditional GC is not possible (tx > OST)
Transactions

- **Concurrency** and **Consistency** isolation modes now use private database snapshot, based on new “Commit Order” feature
  - No more private copies of TIP
  - Private snapshot
    - created - when transaction started
    - released – when transaction finished
    - Current value could be queried using new context variable “SNAPSHOT_NUMBER” in “SYSTEM” context
Transactions

- New sub-level for *Read Committed* transactions: *Read Committed Read Consistency*
  - Allows to solve problem with non-consistent reads at the statement level
  - Uses private database snapshot while statement executed
  - Similar to concurrency transactions but for the single statement
Transactions

• Read Committed Read Consistency
  • Create private database snapshot when statement started execution (cursor opened)
  • Release snapshot when statement execution finished (cursor fetched to eof or closed)
  • Same snapshot is used for all called sub-statements, including triggers, stored procedures, dynamic statements (in the same transaction context)
  • Autonomous transaction uses own private snapshot
Update conflicts

- How Read Consistency interacts with active concurrent writers
  - Reader <-> Writer
  - Writer <-> Writer
Update conflicts

• When Read Consistency transaction read record, updated by concurrent active transaction
  • No sense to wait for commit\rollback of concurrent transaction – our snapshot not allows us to detect it
  • Read backversion, if it is exists
  • Similar to Record Version transactions
Update conflicts

- When Read Consistency transaction going to update record, updated by concurrent active transaction
  - Update conflict!
Update conflict

- Traditional handling of update conflicts by applications
  - Try to update record
  - If conflict happens
    - Rollback work
    - Start new transaction
    - Repeat from start
Update conflict

- Restart request algorithm
  - Try to update record
  - If conflict happens
    - Wait for commit\rollback of concurrent transaction
      - On wait timeout return update conflict error
    - If concurrent is rolled back
      - Remove dead record version and try to update same record again
    - If concurrent is committed
      - Undo all actions of current statement
      - Release statement snapshot
      - Create new statement snapshot
      - Repeat from start
Update conflict

- Restart request algorithm
  - More efficient than application-level restart
    - No need to restart transaction
    - Save network round-trips
  - Number of restarts is limited by hard coded value (10)
  - Could have some side effects
    - Triggers are fired multiply times
  - Not applied if statement already returns records to the client application before update conflict happens
Update conflict

• Restart request algorithm
  • Does not work when there is big contention on the same record!
Update conflict

- Better handling of update conflicts by applications
  - Try to SELECT WITH LOCK
  - If conflict happens
    - Rollback work
    - Start new transaction
    - Repeat from start
- Update record
Update conflict

- New restart request algorithm
  - Try to update record
  - If conflict happens
    - … *same actions* …
    - If concurrent is committed
      - Undo all actions of current statement, but
        - Leave write locks on all changed records, including conflicted one
        - Same as SELECT WITH LOCK
      - Release statement snapshot
      - Create new statement snapshot
      - Repeat from start
Update conflict

• New restart request algorithm
  • Code exists as pull request and is not merged into master branch yet
  • Code is currently evaluated and tested by team
  • So far results is good
Transactions

• Read Committed Read Only
  • *Read Consistency* transactions still committed at start, but keeps own lock with own transaction number at its data – same as any *Read Committed Write* transaction
    - Necessary to keep statement-level snapshot stability
    - Not delays garbage collection thanks to Intermediate GC
  • *Record Version* and *No Record Version* transactions
    - No changes, works as before
Read Committed Read Consistency

- Support at SQL level
  - `SET TRANSACTION READ COMMITTED READ CONSISTENCY`
Read Committed Read Consistency

• Support at SQL level
  • New value (4) at MON$TRANSACTIONS.MON$ISOLATION_MODE
    - Description available in RDB$TYPES, as usual

```
SELECT RDB$TYPE, RDB$TYPE_NAME FROM RDB$TYPES
WHERE RDB$FIELD_NAME = "MON$ISOLATION_MODE";
```

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RDB$TYPE</th>
<th>RDB$TYPE_NAME</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>CONSISTENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CONCURRENCY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>READ_COMMITTED_VERSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>READ_COMMITTED_NO_VERSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>READ_COMMITTED_READ_CONSISTENCY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Read Committed Read Consistency

• Support at API level
  • New TPB tag
    – `isc_tpb_read_commit
  • Sample TPB
    – `isc_tpb_readcommitted, isc_tpb_read_committed, isc_tpb_read_consistency, isc_tpb_write`
Read Committed Read Consistency

- New per-database configuration setting
  - ReadConsistency
- ReadConsistency = 1 (default)
  - Force engine to make any read committed transaction mode to be read committed read consistency
  - For brave developers who want to avoid inconsistencies once and forever ;)
- ReadConsistency = 0
  - Allows to use all three kind of read committed mode with no limitations
Shared snapshots

- It is easy now to implement snapshots sharing
  - Allows for many independent transactions to see the same stable data set
    - Concurrency transactions, of course
  - Useful to handle some big task by parallel connections
Shared snapshots

- Snapshots sharing
  - Start some concurrency transaction
  - Query its snapshot number
    - RDB$GET_CONTEXT(‘SYSTEM’, ‘SNAPSHOT_NUMBER’), or
    - isc_transaction_info(… fb_info_tra_snapshot_number …)
  - Start new concurrency transaction(s) using existing snapshot number
    - SET TRANSACTION SNAPSHOT AT NUMBER <number>, or
    - new TPB tag
      isc_tpb_at_snapshot_number, <length>, <number>
Summary

- Statement-level read consistency problem is solved
- Long running transactions not blocks garbage collection
- Attempt to handle update conflicts efficiently and automatically
- Very easy way to share same snapshot by many independent transactions
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