# Optimizer: Issues and Solutions

Dmitry Yemanov mailto:dimitr@firebirdsql.org

Firebird Project http://www.firebirdsql.org/

# History

#### Legacy optimizer

- Cost-based calculations for inner joins
- Heuristics for everything else
- Totally wrong for partial matches and non-equality comparisons
- Ineffective for complex joins
- Often unable to use an index in sub-queries

#### New statistics

Per-segment index selectivity

#### New features

- Exact cardinality calculation for small tables
- Actual selectivity depends on the predicate
- Brand new cost calculation for retrievals
- More efficient cost calculation for chained joins
- Predicate "pushing" for aggregates, unions and derived tables

#### Insufficient statistics

- For big tables, cardinality is estimated based on data page count and record compression ratio
- Index tree depth and index key compression ratio are hardcoded
- No difference between selectivities for NULLs and non-NULLs
- Uniform value distribution is supposed
- Selectivities for non-indexed columns are lacking

- Procedures, aggregates and unions in joins
  - Lack of cost estimations
  - Predefined join order
  - Problems with correlated procedures
  - Other complex derived tables and CTEs can be also affected

## Example 1

#### Example 2

```
select *
from table1 t1
  cross join proc1(t1.id)
```

#### Chained joins

- Hard to estimate the cost properly
- "Hints" could be used to vary the join order
- No cost based choice between nested loop join and merge join

#### Example

```
select *
from customer, orders, lineitem,
  supplier, nation, region
where
  c custkey = o custkey
  and 1 orderkey = o orderkey
  and l suppkey = s suppkey
  and c nationkey = s nationkey
  and s nationkey = n nationkey
  and n regionkey = r regionkey
  and r name = 'ASIA'
  and o orderdate >= date '1994-01-01'
  and o orderdate < date '1995-01-01'
```

## Outer joins

- No cost estimations at all
- Single execution path: nested loop join

#### Example

```
select *
from employee e
left join department d
  on e.dept_no = some_func(d.dept_no)
```

#### Sub-queries

- Uncorrelated (invariant)
- Non-indexed correlations
- Implicitly correlated

#### IN predicate

- The correlation is injected by the engine
- Consider IN vs EXISTS
- NOT IN <> NOT EXISTS !!!

## Example 1

#### Example 2

```
select *
from employee e
where e.dept in
  ( select d.dept_id
    from departments d
    where d.flag = 'X' )
```

#### Performance of sorting

- Sort record: sort key, dbkey, txn id, other fields
- Favoring sequential reads over random reads
- Fixed size, hence unpacked fields
- Sorting levels: internal buffer → temp cache → disk
- Wider record means more I/O in the temp space

#### Slow example

```
select t1.int_field, t1.varchar300_field
from table1 t1
order by t1.int_field
```

#### Fast example

#### New statistics

- Table: number of pages, number of rows
- Index: depth, number of leaf pages, number of nodes, clustering factor
- Column: number of NULLs, selectivity for non-NULL values
- Value distribution histograms
- Complete or sampled

- Alternative approach to external sorting
  - Read and process only sort keys and dbkeys
  - While fetching, read the rows again via dbkey
  - Take the necessary fields from there
  - Consider the extra costs
  - Decide based on the available statistics

- Materialized sub-queries
  - Underlying stream is sequentially read and cached inside a record buffer
  - Both sequential and random access are supported
  - Storage is provided by the temporary space manager and is dynamically balanced between memory and disk
  - CPU vs storage I/O

#### Hash joins

- Larger input stream becomes an outer one, smaller input stream becomes an inner one
- Inner stream is read in advance row by row, hash value is calculated for the join keys, row is stored in the buffer corresponding to the given hash group
- Outer stream is read sequentially, hash value is calculated for the join keys and probed against the hash table
- For a positive match, collisions are compared by the binary comparison of the join keys

#### Hash aggregation

- Every distinct grouping key has a corresponding entry in the hash table
- Every aggregate function has its counter there
- Backing sort is not needed
- Efficient for non-selective grouping keys
- Not much suitable for the FIRST <n> clause

#### Optimizer hints

- OPTIMIZE FOR { ALL | FIRST } ROWS
- ORDER plan is preferred over SORT as much a possible, including inverted join orders
- Nested loop joins are preferred to hash joins and especially merge joins
- SORT plan is executed using the "sort faster, fetch slower" approach
- Other optimizations are possible

#### Optimizer improvements

- Estimate cardinality and cost through the whole data access path
- Choose between nested loop joins and hash/merge joins based on cost
- Materialize invariant sub-queries and non-indexed slave streams
- Consider hash/merge joins for outer joins
- Detect constant "always true" or "always false" predicates and skip unnecessary retrievals

## **Questions?**